

Exercise 1.

- Consider the following regular expression : $(a|b)^*ab^*$
 - a) Construct a nondeterministic finite automaton (NFA) for the above regular expression using Thompson's construction.
 - b) Convert this nondeterministic finite automaton into a deterministic finite automaton (DFA).
 - c) Minimize the number of states in the resulting automaton.

Exercise 2.

- The lexical entities of a mini programming language are as follows:

Keywords	begin , end , if , then , else
Identifiers	Strings consisting of a single letter, or a letter followed by several letters or digits
Constants	Strings consisting of a single digit, or several digits
Operators	< , <= , = , < > , > , >= , + , -

- a) Provide the regular expressions that describe these lexical entities.
- b) Construct a finite-state automaton for these expressions.

Exercise 3.

The Thompson construction rules transform a regular expression R_1 into a nondeterministic finite automaton N_1 . Propose analogous construction rules for nondeterministic finite automata for the following operators:

- R_1^+
- $R_1?$ (whose meaning is $R_1 \mid \epsilon$)

We modify Thompson's construction rule for the expression R^* by **not** adding a new initial state and a new final state. Instead, we add two ϵ -labeled transitions: one from the final state of R 's automaton back to its initial state, and the other from the initial state to the final state.

Is this modified rule always valid for the expression R^* ? In the general case (composition of rules), does the proposed modification affect the validity of the constructions? Give a concise example to justify your answer.