## Abdelhafid Boussouf University Center, Mila Institute of Mathematics and Computer Sciences First year of Computer Science License 2024/2025

## Algebra II, Worksheet 2 answers

**Exercise n**°1 :

## Solution :

1. The vector x = (1, 1, 2) is a linear combination of  $x_1 = (1, -1, 1)$  and  $x_2 = (0, 1, a)$  if and only if there exist scalars  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$x = \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2$$

This leads to the following system of equations

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1 = 1\\ -\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1\\ \alpha_1 + a\alpha_2 = 2 \end{cases}$$

Solving this system, we obtain the solution  $\left| a = \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_1 = 1, \alpha_2 = 2 \right|$ .

2. Assume that the family of vectors  $A = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$  is linearly independent. Then, the family  $B = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$  is linearly independent if and only if the following condition holds

$$\forall \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \in K : \alpha_1 e_1 + \alpha_2 e_2 + \alpha_3 e_3 + \alpha_4 e_4 = 0_E \Longrightarrow \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = 0_K$$

Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \in K$ , then

 $\alpha_1 e_1 + \alpha_2 e_2 + \alpha_3 e_3 + \alpha_4 e_4 = 0_E \Longrightarrow (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4) v_1 + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4) v_2 + (\alpha_3 + \alpha_4) v_3 + \alpha_4 v_4 = 0_E$ 

Since  $A = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$  is linearly independent, the coefficients must satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = 0_K \\ \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = 0_K \\ \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = 0_K \\ \alpha_4 = 0_K \end{cases}$$

Solving this system, we deduce that

$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = 0_K.$$

which proves that the family *B* is linearly independent.

3. We now show that  $F_1 + F_2 = F$  is a vector subspace of *E*.

(a) **Non-empty :** Since  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are subspaces, they both contain  $0_E$ . Hence,

$$0_E = 0_E + 0_E \in F_1 + F_2$$

Thus,  $F_1 + F_2$  is non-empty set.

(b) Closed under addition : Let  $x, y \in F$ , so there exist  $a_1, b_1 \in F_1$  and  $a_2, b_2 \in F_2$  such that

$$\begin{cases} x = a_1 + a_2 \\ y = b_1 + b_2 \end{cases}$$
$$\implies x + y = (a_1 + a_2) + (b_1 + b_2) =$$

 $= (a_1 + b_1) + (a_2 + b_2)$  (Since addition in *E* is commutative and associative)

Since  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are vector subspaces,  $a_3 = (a_1 + b_1) \in F_1$  and  $b_3 = (a_2 + b_2) \in F_2$ . Thus,  $x + y = a_3 + b_3 \in F$ , proving that *F* is closed under addition.

(c) Closed under scalar multiplication : Let  $\alpha \in K$  and  $x = x_1 + x_2 \in F$  with  $x_1 \in F_1$  and  $x_2 \in F_2$ . Then,

$$\alpha x = \alpha \left( x_1 + x_2 \right) = \alpha x_1 + \alpha x_2.$$

Since  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are vector subspaces,  $\alpha x_1 \in F_1$  and  $\alpha x_2 \in F_2$ . Thus,  $\alpha x \in F$ , proving that F is closed under scalar multiplication. Then F is a vector subspace of E.

**Exercise**  $n^{\circ}2$  : Solution

1. A set  $B = \{f_1, f_2\}$  is linearly independent if and only if

$$\forall \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R} : \alpha_1 f_1 + \alpha_2 f_2 = 0_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})} \Longrightarrow \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0.$$

where  $0_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})}$  denotes the zero mapping.

Consider the equation  $\alpha_1 f_1 + \alpha_2 f_2 = 0_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})}$  in the space  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$ . This equation is equivalent to

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R} : \alpha_1 f_1(x) + \alpha_2 f_2(x) = 0$$

By choosing specific values : x = 0 and  $x = \frac{\pi}{2}$ , we obtain  $\alpha_1 = 0$  and  $\alpha_2 = 0$ , respectively. Thus, the family *B* is linearly independent, and we conclude that *B* forms a basis for *F*.

2. (b) We know that *f* belongs to the vector subspace *F* spanned (or generated) by the family *B*, denoted *span*(*B*) or  $\langle B \rangle$ , if and only if there exist scalars  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$f = \lambda_1 f_1 + \lambda_2 f_2$$
$$\iff \forall x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) = \lambda_1 f_1(x) + \lambda_2 f_2(x)$$

For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , using trigonometric identities, we can express f(x) as

$$f(x) = \cos(x + \alpha) = \cos x \cdot \cos \alpha - \sin x \cdot \sin \alpha$$

=  $\lambda_1 \cos x + \lambda_2 \sin x$ , where  $\lambda_1 = \cos \alpha$  and  $\lambda_2 = -\sin \alpha$  are constants in  $\mathbb{R}$ 

This shows that  $f \in F$ . The coordinates of f in the basis B of F are given by the pair ( $\cos \alpha$ ,  $-\sin \alpha$ ). Similarly, for the mapping g, we have

$$g(x) = \sin(x + \beta) = \sin x \cdot \cos \beta + \cos x \cdot \sin \beta$$

=  $\lambda_1 \cos x + \lambda_2 \sin x$ , where  $\lambda_1 = \sin \beta$  and  $\lambda_2 = \cos \beta$  are constants in  $\mathbb{R}$ 

This implies that  $g \in F$ . The coordinates of g in the basis B of F are given by the pair  $(\sin \beta, \cos \beta)$ . Exercise  $\mathbf{n}^{\circ}3$ :

**Solution :** To determine a basis of a vector subspace *F*, we first try to obtain a spanning family for *F* and then show that this family is linearly independent. Thus,

$$F = \{X = (x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : y = -2x \text{ and } -x + 3z = t\} = \{X = (x, -2x, z, -x + 3z) : x, z \in \mathbb{R}\}$$
$$= \{X = x(1, -2, 0, -1) + z(0, 0, 1, 3) : x, z \in \mathbb{R}\} = \langle L_F = \{a = (1, -2, 0, -1), b = (0, 0, 1, 3)\}\rangle$$
$$= Span\{L_F = \{a = (1, -2, 0, -1), b = (0, 0, 1, 3)\}\}$$

Thus, *F* is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  spanned by the family of vectors  $L_F = \{a = (1, -2, 0, -1), b = (0, 0, 1, 3)\}$ . Moreover, it is easy to verify that  $L_F$  is linearly independent. Therefore,  $L_F$  forms a basis of *F*, and it follows that dim(*F*) = Card( $L_F$ ) = 2. Similarly, for *G* we find that *G* is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  spanned by the family of vectors

$$L_G = \{c = (-2, 1, 0, 0), d = (-3, 0, 1, 0), v = (-1, 0, 0, 1)\}$$

Moreover, it is easy to verify that  $L_G$  is linearly independent. Therefore,  $L_G$  forms a basis of G, which implies that  $\dim(G) = Card(L_G) = 3$ .

2. We have

$$F \cap G = \{X = (x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : X \in F \text{ and } X \in G\} = \{X = (x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : 2x + y = 0, -x + 3z - t = 0, x + 2y + 3z + t = 0\}$$
$$= \{X = (x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : x = \frac{3}{2}z, y = -3z, t = \frac{3}{2}z\}$$

(b) Basis of  $F \cap G$ : we have

$$F \cap G = \left\{ X = \left( \frac{3}{2}z, -3z, z, \frac{3}{2}z \right) : z \in \mathbb{R} \right\} = span\left( L_{F \cap G} = \left\{ m = \left( \frac{3}{2}, -3, 1, \frac{3}{2} \right) \right\} \right).$$

Thus,  $F \cap G$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  generated by the family  $L_{F \cap G} = \{m = (\frac{3}{2}, -3, 1, \frac{3}{2})\}$ . Since  $m \neq 0_{\mathbb{R}^4}$ , the set  $F \cap G$  is linearly independent. Therefore,  $L_{F \cap G}$  forms a basis of  $F \cap G$  and we conclude that  $\dim(F \cap G) = Card(L_{F \cap G}) = 1$ .

3) According to Grassmann's formula, we have

$$\dim(F + G) = \dim(F) + \dim(G) - \dim(F \cap G) = 2 + 3 - 1 = 4.$$

## **Exercise n°4 : Solution**

1. (a) Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\begin{cases} f_1(-x) = \frac{1}{2} [f(-x) + f(x)] = f_1(x) \\ f_2(-x) = \frac{1}{2} [f(-x) - f(x)] = -\frac{1}{2} [f(x) - f(-x)] = -f_2(x) \end{cases}$$

Thus,  $f_1$  is even and  $f_2$  is odd.

Now, let's prove that

$$EV(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}) + OD(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$$

j) Since  $EV(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) + OD(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  is a subspace of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ , we have

 $EV(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}) + OD(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}).$ 

(jj) On the other hand, let  $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ , then

$$f \in EV(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) + OD(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \iff \exists g_1 \in EV(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), \exists g_2 \in \mathfrak{I}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) : f = g_1 + g_2$$
$$\iff \exists g_1 \in EV(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), \exists g_2 \in \mathfrak{I}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), \forall x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) = g_1(x) + g_2(x).$$

For any  $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  and any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we can write

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2}(f(x) + f(x)) = \frac{1}{2}(f(x) + f(-x) - f(-x) + f(x)) = \frac{1}{2}(f(x) + f(-x)) + \frac{1}{2}(f(x) - f(-x)) = \varphi_1(x) + \varphi_2(x)$$

where

$$\varphi_1(x) = \frac{1}{2}(f(x) + f(-x)) = f_1(x), \varphi_2(x) = \frac{1}{2}(f(x) - f(-x)) = f_2(x).$$

Since  $f_1 \in EV(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $f_2 \in OD(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ , we conclude that

$$\exists g_1 = f_1 \in EV(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), \exists g_2 = f_2 \in OD(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}): f = g_1 + g_2$$

4

$$\implies$$
  $f \in EV(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}) + OD(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$ 

Thus

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}) \subset EV(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}) + OD(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}).$$

Since we have both inclusions, we conclude

$$EV(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}) + OD(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}).$$

(b) We know that the subspaces  $EV(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$  and  $OD(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$  are complementary in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$  if and only if their direct sum equals  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$ . That is,

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}) = EV(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}) \oplus OD(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}) \iff$$
$$\longleftrightarrow \begin{cases} j \in V(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}) \cap OD(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}) = \{0_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})}\}\\ j j \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}) = EV(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}) + OD(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}). \end{cases}$$

(j) Since  $EV(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \cap OD(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  is a subspace of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ , so the zero mapping  $0_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})}$  belongs to both  $EV(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  and  $OD(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ , it follows that

$$\{0_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})}\} \subset EV(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}) \cap OD(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}).$$

Now, let  $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ , then

$$f \in EV(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \cap OD(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \Longrightarrow \begin{cases} f \in EV(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \\ and \\ f \in OD(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} f(x) = f(-x) \\ and \\ f(x) = -f(-x) \end{cases}$$
  
$$\Rightarrow 2f(x) = 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \\ \Rightarrow f(x) = 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \\ \Rightarrow f(x) = 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \\ \Rightarrow f = 0_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})} \in \{0_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})}\} \\ \Rightarrow EV(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}) \cap OD(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}) \subset \{0_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})}\}.$$

Since we have both inclusions, we conclude

$$EV(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})\cap OD(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}) = \{0_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})}\}.$$

(jj) Since from part (a), we have  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) = EV(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) + OD(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ , it follows that

 $\mathcal{F}\left(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}\right)=EV\left(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}\right)\oplus OD\left(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}\right)$